HIGH COURT OF MADHYA PRADESH: JABALPUR DIVISION BENCH - I (After Full Bench-II)

Daily Cause List dated : 08-01-2025 BEFORE: HON'BLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE & HON'BLE SHRI JUSTICE VIVEK JAIN Court Hall No.: 1

NOTE:- All the Advocates are requested to submit a list of cases, in which compromise/amicable settlement is possible in the forthcoming permanent & continuous Lok Adalat. The list of cases may be submitted in the office of M.P. High Court Legal Services Committee, Jabalpur or may also be sent through email mphclsc@gmail.com,sechclscjbp@mp.gov.in at the earliest.

In compliance of Court order dated 28.05.2024 passed in CRA 10947/2019 (Ram Singh Vs State of MP), it is to inform that Counsels cannot appear in Criminal Appeals on the basis of memo of appearance and they will have to necessarily file Vakalatnama. They may do so during the vacation, failing which, after vacation Hon'\ble Court may not entertain appearance on the memo of appearance

MOTION HEARING [DIRECTION MATTERS]

SN 1	Case No MCRC 25252/2022	Petitioner / Respondent DEEPANKAR VISHWAS	Petitioner/Respondent Advocate AKSHAY JHA ASHISH VISHWAKARMA, ARUN KUMAR VISHWAKARMA, DEVRAJ SONI
	(438)	Versus	
	I st Bail	THE STATE OF MADHYA PRADESH	ADVOCATE GENERAL VINOD KUMAR PRASAD[COMP], SNEHAL BURMAN[COMP]
		Relief - FOR GRANT OF BAIL {FIXED DATE (COURT ORDER) COVI WHICH ARE FORMULATED HERE PETITION FILED UNDER SECTION PROCEEDINGS UNDER SECTIONS INITIATED AGAINST THE ACCUSH FILED UNDER SECTION 438 OF C BEEN DECLARED AS 'ABSCONDE	D-19} TO ANSWER THE FOLLOWING QUESTIONS, IN UNDER:- "(I) WHETHER THE ANTICIPATORY BAIL N 438 OF CR.P.C. IS MAINTAINABLE, IN CASE 8 82 AND 83 OR SECTION 299 OF CR.P.C. HAVE BEEN ED? (II) WHETHER THE ANTICIPATORY BAIL PETITION R.P.C. IS MAINTAINABLE, WHEN THE ACCUSED HAS R/PROCLAIMED OFFENDER' UNDER SECTIONS 82 AND Y THE AUTHORITY COMPETENT?"

TOTAL CASES: 1 (with connected matters)

PR (J) / R (J-I) / R(J-II)